24

25

26

BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

4

1

2

In the Matter of

THE APPLICATION REGARDING THE CONVERSION AND ACQUISITION OF CONTROL OF PREMERA BLUE CROSS AND ITS **AFFILIATES**

No. G02-45

THIRD JOINT STATUS REPORT OF OIC STAFF AND PREMERA BLUE CROSS AND ITS AFFILIATES

- 1. On October 10, 2003, the OIC Staff and Premera Blue Cross and its Affiliates ("Premera") asked that they be allowed to submit a joint status report on October 17, 2003 to set forth their position on the question of when the public versions of the executive summaries and the final reports by the OIC Staff's consultants should be required to be produced. On October 17, 2003, Premera requested an extension of time for this submission, which was granted. The OIC Staff and Premera hereby submit their Third Joint Status Report.
- 2. On October 15, 2003, pursuant to the Commissioner's Thirteenth Order, Premera delivered to the OIC Staff (a) its recommended redactions of confidential and proprietary information in the OIC Staff's consultants' draft reports and executive summaries and (b) its suggested amendments to the draft reports and executive summaries to address alleged factual errors. Both submissions were extensive.1
- 3. Promptly upon receipt, the OIC Staff transmitted electronically Premera's list of alleged factual errors and suggested amendments to the OIC Staff's consultants. The OIC

¹ Premera proposed redactions of material on 51% (or 398) of the total 786 pages of draft reports and executive summaries. With respect to the executive summaries only, Premera recommended redaction of material on 39 out of 62 pages, or 63%. Premera submitted 70 pages of material to the OIC Staff identifying alleged factual errors and suggesting amendments to the draft reports.

Staff also forwarded, for next-day delivery to the consultants, copies of Premera's recommended redactions. The OIC Staff's consultants are now attending to these matters.

- 4. The OIC Staff's preliminary review of Premera's recommended redactions reveals that there is substantial disagreement between the parties regarding the redactions. The OIC Staff will continue its review of Premera's recommendations with the objective of identifying areas of disagreement and communicating them to Premera as soon as possible. The OIC Staff will rely upon the assistance of the consultants in this task, but the OIC Staff expects that the consultants will be focused more upon reviewing Premera's suggested amendments to the draft reports to address alleged factual errors and participating in discussions with Premera as described in paragraph 6 below. Thus, the OIC Staff expects to receive limited, if any, assistance from the consultants in the redactions review process prior to October 27th.
- 5. In addition, the parties will be prevented from reaching definitive determinations with respect to any disputes relating to Premera's recommendations until the final reports themselves are completed and then delivered to Premera for review and preparation of recommended redactions.
- 6. There will likely be significant differences between the draft and final consultant reports. In response to concerns about certain provisions of the Form A transaction documents raised in the consultants' draft reports, early during the week of October 6th Premera communicated its willingness to meet with the OIC Staff and the consultants to attempt to address those concerns.² If discussions between Premera and the OIC Staff and its consultants are productive, additional changes to the consultants' final reports and executive summaries may be necessary.

² The OIC Staff interpreted Premera's statement of interest as potentially leading to an amendment of the Form A Statement. As Premera stated at the conference on October 8, 2003, it does not propose to amend its Form A. Premera and the OIC Staff disagree about the nature of such discussions, and that issue is reserved for future resolution. Regardless, Premera and the OIC Staff both foresee that the outcome of the discussions could impact the schedule for resolving redaction issues and releasing materials to the public.

- 7. Premera and the OIC Staff believe that the process for identifying and resolving disputes relating to redactions will necessitate significant allotments of time. Specifically, after October 27th, Premera will require time to review the final reports (including the final executive summaries) and recommend redactions. Thereafter, the OIC Staff will require time to review the recommended redactions and identify areas of dispute. The parties then will require time to resolve any dispute and, if that is not entirely successful, disputes could be submitted to the Special Master for resolution. If the Special Master becomes involved in the process, additional time will be required for preparing submissions to him as well as for him to review the submissions and issue a determination.
- 8. In the Sixteenth Order, the Commissioner expressed his intention that the main body of the final reports be made fully disclosable to the public to the greatest extent possible in time for review by the public prior to the public hearings scheduled in December.³ When the OIC Staff entered into personal service contracts with the consultants, requiring preparation and submission of executive summaries in addition to full reports, the OIC Staff expected and intended that the executive summaries would be public documents. The OIC Staff also anticipated that, when the final round of public hearings was held, only the executive summaries would be made available to the public for review and comment; public versions of the final reports would be made available at the time of the commencement of the adjudicative hearing. The OIC Staff interpreted the Sixteenth Order to be generally consistent with the OIC Staff's original intent, except that the Commissioner clearly desired to accelerate the date for publication of the final reports.

³ If this intention is to be given effect, redacted (public) versions of the final reports must be placed on the OIC web site sufficiently in advance to permit meaningful public review. Staff of the Public Affairs Division of the OIC has informed the OIC Staff that, in light of the Thanksgiving holiday and the volume of the reports, the last day for submission of the public version of the reports for posting to the web site allowing sufficient time for public review is November 14, 2003. Premera and the OIC Staff believe that meeting a November 14th deadline for release of public versions of the final reports is problematic if not impossible due to the size of the reports and the process required to identify and resolve potential disputes relating to redactions.

1	9. For the reasons set forth above, Premera and the OIC Staff request that public
2	(redacted) versions of the executive summaries be submitted by November 14 th and that
3	public (redacted) versions of the full reports be made available to the public immediately prior
4	to or at the time of the adjudicative hearing scheduled in this matter.
5	10. In the alternative, Premera and the OIC Staff request that, if the Commissioner
6	requires redacted versions of the final reports to be made accessible to the public prior to the
7	date of the first public hearing (December 2), the deadline for submission be November 21 st .
8	Despite the difficulties that it presents, the parties will make every effort to comply with this
9	deadline.
10	DATED this 20th day of October, 2003.
11	Respectfully submitted,
12	Preston Gates & Ellis llp
13	
14	By Joseph J. Michell Thomas E. Kelly, Jr., wsba # 05690
15	Robert B. Mitchell, wsbA \$10874 Attorneys for PREMERA, Premera Blue Cross,
16	and their affiliated companies
17	and
18	OFFICE OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONER STATE OF WASHINGTON
19	
20	By: John F. Stampe B. RRM son email
21	By: John F. Hamje by RSM, son email John F. Hamje out houghton Staff Attorney WSBA #32400
22	Legal Affairs Division Office of Insurance Commissioner
23	360-725-7046 360-586-3109 (Facsimile)
24	
25 .	
26	